****
BANACH CENTER PUBLICATIONS, VOLUME **
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES
WARSZAWA 200*

A CLASSIFICATION OF PROJECTORS

GUSTAVO CORACH
Depto. de Matemática, FI-UBA, and IAM-CONICET, Buenos Aires, Argentina
e-mail: gcorach@fi.uba.ar

ALEJANDRA MAESTRIPIERI
Instituto de Ciencias, UNGS, San Miguel, Argentina
e-mail: amaestri@ungs.edu.ar

DEMETRIO STOJANOFF
Depto. de Matemática, FCE-UNLP, La Plata, Argentina
e-mail: demetrio@mate.unlp.edu.ar

Abstract. A positive operator A and a closed subspace $\mathcal{S}$ of a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ are called *compatible* if there exists a projector Q onto $\mathcal{S}$ such that $AQ = Q^*A$. Compatibility is shown to depend on the existence of certain decompositions of H and the ranges of A and $A^{1/2}$. It also depends on certain angle between $A(\mathcal{S})$ and the orthogonal of $\mathcal{S}$.

1. Introduction Consider the set $\mathcal{Q}$ of all (bounded linear) projectors on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Sometimes the elements of $\mathcal{S}$ are named *oblique projectors* in order to emphasize that they are not necessarily orthogonal. Since the early years of matrix and operator theories, projectors have played a relevant role in many studies on spectral theory, approximation, optimization, orthogonal decompositions, least square methods, and so on. Very recently, several applications of oblique projectors to signal processing [10], [13], [36]; sampling [11], [57]; wavelets [3], [56]; information theory [57]; integral equations [51], [52]; statistics [54]; least square approximation [28], [29], [60] and parallel computing [17] have been found. For these multiple manifestations, many results on projectors are rediscovered once and again by different specialists. It seems that a short survey on several old

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 47A64,47A07 and 46C99.

Key words and phrases: Oblique projectors, least square problems, scaled projectors, positive operators.

Research partially supported by CONICET (PIP 2083/02) , Universidad de Buenos Aires (UBACYT X050), Universidad de La Plata 11 X350 and ANPCYT (PICT03-9521).

The paper is in final form and no version of it will be published elsewhere.

and new results on oblique projectors may be helpful for the interested reader.

For each closed subspace $\mathcal{S}$ of $\mathcal{H}$ let $\mathcal{Q}^{\mathcal{S}}$ denote the set of all projectors with range $\mathcal{S}$. For each (bounded linear semidefinite) positive operator A on $\mathcal{H}$ consider the set $\mathcal{P}(A,\mathcal{S}) = \{Q \in \mathcal{Q}^{\mathcal{S}}\colon AQ = Q^*A\}$, i.e., all Q with range $\mathcal{S}$ which are Hermitian with respect to the sesquilinear form $\langle \xi, \eta\rangle_A = \langle A\xi, \eta\rangle$. Of course, $\mathcal{P}(A,\mathcal{S})$ can be empty (see examples below); we say that $A, \mathcal{S}$ are *compatible* if $\mathcal{P}(A,\mathcal{S})$ is not empty. This condition can be read in terms of different space decompositions, range inclusions and angles between certain closed subspaces of $\mathcal{H}$. It is known [19] that, if A and $\mathcal{S}$ are compatible then a distinguished element $P_{A,\mathcal{S}}$ of $\mathcal{P}(A,\mathcal{S})$ exists which has optimal properties. We show explicit formulas for $P_{A,\mathcal{S}}$ which are computationally useful.

Many results on oblique projectors can be found in the papers by Afriat [1], Davis [22], Ljance [43], Mizel and Rao [45], Halmos [33], Greville [32], Gerisch [30], Ptak [49] . Projectors which are Hermitian with respect to a positive matrix have been studied by Mitra and Rao [44] and Baksalary and Kala [9]. More recently, Hassi and Nordstrom [35] studied projectors which are Hermitian with respect to a self-adjoint operator but with emphasis on the case in which $\mathcal{P}(A,\mathcal{S})$ is a singleton. In [47], Pasternak-Winiarski studied the analiticity of the map $A \to P_{A,\mathcal{S}}$, where A runs over the set of positive invertible operators. The map $(A,\mathcal{S}) \to P_{A,\mathcal{S}}$ is studied by Andruchow, Corach and Stojanoff [6], for positive invertible A. For general selfadjoint A, several results on $\mathcal{P}(A,\mathcal{S})$ can be found in [19] and the present paper can be seen as its continuation. Additional results by the authors are contained in [20] and [21]. The latter makes a link between oblique projectors and abstract splines in the sense of Atteia [8]. It is natural that this type of least square approximation results appears in this context, because $P_{A,\mathcal{S}}$ is a kind of orthogonal projector for an appropriate inner product. In particular, oblique projectors, mainly in the finite-dimensional setting, appear frequently under the form of "scaled projectors", i.e., projectors which are Hermitian with respect to a positive diagonal matrix. The reader is referred to the papers by Stewart [53], O'Leary [46], Hanke and Neumann [34], Gonzaga and Lara [31], Wei [60], Forsgren [28], Vavasis [14], among many others, for results on and applications of scaled projectors. A relationship between scaled and A-Hermitian projectors, also in the infinite-dimensional setting, can be found in [7].

The contents of the paper are the following. Section 2 begins with some preliminaries and a short survey of known results on $\mathcal{P}(A,\mathcal{S})$ and $P_{A,\mathcal{S}}$, taken from [19], [20] and [21]. Then, we prove several characterizations of compatibility in terms of decompositions of $\mathcal{H}$ and of the ranges of A and $A^{1/2}$, of certain range inclusions and also of the angle between the closure of $A(\mathcal{S})$ with the orthogonal complement of $\mathcal{S}$. Most part of these results is new and the proof of the remainder has been greatly simplified. We collect in Section 3 several formulas for $P_{A,\mathcal{S}}$ using results from Greville [32], Kerzman and Stein [38], [39], Ljance [43], Ptak [49] and Buckholtz [16].

Acknowledgement: The first author thanks the Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics for its kind hospitality.

2. Oblique projectors In what follows $\mathcal{H}$ denotes a Hilbert space with inner product $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$, $L(\mathcal{H})$ is the algebra of bounded linear operators on $\mathcal{H}$, $GL(\mathcal{H})$ denotes the group

of invertible operators on $\mathcal{H}$, $L(\mathcal{H})^+$ the cone of positive operators, $GL(\mathcal{H})^+ = GL(\mathcal{H}) \cap L(\mathcal{H})^+$ and $\mathcal{Q} = \{Q \in L(\mathcal{H}) : Q^2 = Q\}$ the set of oblique projectors. For an operator W its image is denoted by $R(W)$ and its nullspace by $N(W)$. Recall that if $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}$ are two Hilbert spaces and $C \in L(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ has closed range, then there exists a unique $C^\dagger \in L(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ such that $CC^\dagger C = C$, $C^\dagger CC^\dagger = C^\dagger$ and $CC^\dagger$, $C^\dagger C$ are Hermitian; $C^\dagger$ is called the Moore-Penrose inverse of C (see [23] and [12] for details).

The following result by R. G. Douglas will be frequently used in this paper. Given Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}$, $\mathcal{K}$, $\mathcal{G}$ and operators $A \in L(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{G})$, $B \in L(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{G})$ then the following conditions are equivalent:

i) the equation $AX = B$ has a solution in $L(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$;

ii) $R(B) \subseteq R(A)$;

iii) there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that $BB^* \leq \lambda AA^*$. In this case, there exists a unique $D \in L(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ such that $AD = B$ and $R(D) \subseteq \overline{R(A^*)}$; moreover, $\|D\|^2 = \inf\{\lambda > 0 : \ BB^* \leq \lambda AA^*\}$. We shall call D the **reduced solution** of $AX = B$. The reader is referred to [26] and [27] for the proof of Douglas theorem and related results. Let us remark that if $R(A)$ is closed then the reduced solution of $AX = B$ is $A^\dagger B$: this follows quite easily from the properties of the Moore-Penrose pseudoinverse. For a fixed closed subspace $\mathcal{S}$ of $\mathcal{H}$, operators in $\mathcal{H}$ are represented as 2×2 matrices according to the decomposition $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{S}^\perp$; more precisely, for each $B \in L(\mathcal{H})$ the identity

$$B = PBP + PB(I - P) + (I - P)BP + (I - P)B(I - P)$$

where P is the orthogonal projector onto $\mathcal{S}$, can be matricially rephrased as $B = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \end{pmatrix}$, where $b_{11} = PBP|_{\mathcal{S}} \in L(\mathcal{S})$, $b_{12} = PB(I - P)|_{\mathcal{S}^\perp} \in L(\mathcal{S}^\perp, \mathcal{S})$, $b_{21} = (I - P)BP|_{\mathcal{S}} \in L(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}^\perp)$ and $b_{22} = (I - P)B(I - P)|_{\mathcal{S}^\perp} \in L(\mathcal{S}^\perp)$. In particular, $P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, any projector Q onto $\mathcal{S}$ has the form $Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & e \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ for some $e \in L(\mathcal{S}^\perp, \mathcal{S})$ and any $A \in L(\mathcal{H})^+$ can be expressed as $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b^* & c \end{pmatrix}$, where $a \in L(\mathcal{S})^+$, $b \in L(\mathcal{S}^\perp, \mathcal{S})$, $c \in L(\mathcal{S}^\perp)^+$ and $|\langle b\eta, \xi\rangle|^2 \leq \langle a\xi, \xi\rangle\langle c\eta, \eta\rangle$ for every $\xi \in \mathcal{S}$, $\eta \in \mathcal{S}^\perp$ [50]. As a consequence (see [4]) it follows that the image of the positive square root of a contains the image of $b : R(a^{1/2}) \supseteq R(b)$.

Given a closed subspace $\mathcal{S}$ let $\mathcal{Q}^{\mathcal{S}}$ be the subset of $\mathcal{Q}$ of all projectors with range (i.e. image) $\mathcal{S}$. Of course $\mathcal{Q}$ is the disjoint union of all $\mathcal{Q}^{\mathcal{S}}$. On the other side, any positive (bounded linear) operator A on $\mathcal{H}$ defines a (Hermitian semidefinite) positive sesquilinear form

$$\langle\ ,\ \rangle_A : \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{C}\ , \quad \langle \xi, \eta\rangle_A = \langle A\xi, \eta\rangle, \quad \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}.$$

A (bounded linear) operator T on $\mathcal{H}$ is called A-Hermitian if $\langle T\xi, \eta\rangle_A = \langle \xi, T\eta\rangle_A$ for all $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}$, i.e. if $AT = T^*A$. We shall not study the existence of an A-adjoint of an operator (see [41] and [25] for this type of problems). However, the following result shows that this existence is not irrelevant, even in the case of projectors.

Lemma 2.1. *Given $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ and $A \in L(\mathcal{H})^+$, there exists $W \in L(\mathcal{H})$ such that $AQ =$*

W^*A (i.e. Q admits an A-adjoint) if and only if

$$R(A) = R(A) \cap N(Q^*) \oplus R(A) \cap R(Q^*). \tag{1}$$

Proof. If $\xi \in R(A)$ then $\xi = A\eta$, for some $\eta \in \mathcal{H}$. Since Q^* decomposes $\mathcal{H}$ as the direct sum $R(Q^*) \oplus N(Q^*)$ there exists $w \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\xi = A\eta = Q^*w + z$, where $z \in N(Q^*)$. But $Q^*\xi = Q^*A\eta = Q^*w \in R(A)$, because $R(Q^*A) = R(AW) \subseteq R(A)$. Then $Q^*\xi = Q^*w \in R(A) \cap R(Q^*)$. Also $z = A\eta - Q^*w \in R(A) \cap N(Q^*)$, because $Q^*w \in R(A)$. This proves decomposition (1).

If formula (1) holds, then $R(Q^*A) = Q^*(R(A) \cap R(Q^*)) = R(A) \cap R(Q^*)$, so that $R(Q^*A) \subseteq R(A)$. By Douglas theorem there exists a solution W of the equation $AX = Q^*A$. ∎

Denote by $\mathcal{Q}_A$ the set of all A-Hermitian projectors on $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{P}(A, \mathcal{S}) = \mathcal{Q}^{\mathcal{S}} \cap \mathcal{Q}_A$. In [19] it is remarked that every $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ belongs to some $\mathcal{P}(A, \mathcal{S})$. Thus, $\mathcal{Q} = \cup \mathcal{P}(A, \mathcal{S})$ where $\mathcal{S}$ runs over the class of all closed subspaces on $\mathcal{H}$ and A over a class of positive operators A. The sets $\mathcal{P}(A, \mathcal{S})$ are the object of our study.

We follow the terminology proposed by Ben-Israel and Greville [12]: the operator $Q : \ \xi \mapsto Q\xi$ which performs the projection is named *projector*, meanwhile $Q\xi$ is the *projection* of ξ (under Q).

In what follows $\mathcal{S}$ denotes a closed subspace of $\mathcal{H}$ and A denotes a positive operator on $\mathcal{H}$. Denote by

$$\mathcal{S}^{\perp_A} \ := \ \{\xi \in \mathcal{H} : \langle \xi, \eta \rangle_A = 0 \ \ \forall \ \eta \in \mathcal{S}\}.$$

The identities $\mathcal{S}^{\perp_A} = A^{-1}(\mathcal{S}^\perp) = (A\mathcal{S})^\perp$ will be used without further mention. Observe that, if A is invertible, then $\langle \ , \ \rangle_A$ is an inner product which is equivalent to $\langle \ , \ \rangle$; so that the subspace $\mathcal{S}$ admits a closed A-complement in $(\mathcal{H}, \langle \ , \ \rangle_A)$, namely $\mathcal{S}^{\perp_A}$; thus, $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{\perp_A}$. However, if A is not invertible, such a complement may not exist. In fact, $\mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{S}^{\perp_A}$ may be non trivial and $\mathcal{S} + \mathcal{S}^{\perp_A}$ may be a proper non closed subspace of $\mathcal{H}$ (see below).

The next theorem collects several well-known facts on projectors which are due to many mathematicians: Afriat [1], Greville [32], Ptak [49], Chung [18], Buckholtz [16]. Indeed, the use of projectors is so extended that many results appear once again in papers in functional analysis, statistics, matrix analysis, signal processing, and so on.

Theorem 2.2. *If $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ are closed subspaces of a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ then the following properties are equivalent:*

1. $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{N}$
2. *there exists $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ such that $R(Q) = \mathcal{S}$, and $N(Q) = \mathcal{N}$*
3. $P_{\mathcal{S}} - P_{\mathcal{N}} \in GL(\mathcal{H})$
4. $\|P_{\mathcal{S}} + P_{\mathcal{N}} - I\| < 1$
5. $P_{\mathcal{S}^\perp}|_{\mathcal{N}}$ *is injective and* $P_{\mathcal{S}^\perp}(\mathcal{N}) = \mathcal{S}^\perp$.

In such case $P_{\mathcal{S}} P_{\mathcal{N}^\perp}$ has a closed range,

$$\|P_{\mathcal{S}} P_{\mathcal{N}}\| = \|P_{\mathcal{N}} P_{\mathcal{S}}\| < 1 \ , \quad P_{\mathcal{S}} + P_{\mathcal{N}} - P_{\mathcal{N}} P_{\mathcal{S}} \in GL(\mathcal{H}) \ , \quad P_{\mathcal{N}^\perp} P_{\mathcal{S}} - I \in GL(\mathcal{H})$$

and the projector onto $\mathcal{S}$ with nullspace $\mathcal{N}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\mathcal{S}//\mathcal{N}} &= (P_{\mathcal{S}}P_{\mathcal{N}^\perp})^\dagger = (I - P_{\mathcal{N}^\perp}P_{\mathcal{S}})^{-1}P_{\mathcal{N}} \\ &= (I - P_{\mathcal{S}}P_{\mathcal{N}})^{-1}P_{\mathcal{S}}(I - P_{\mathcal{S}}P_{\mathcal{N}}) \\ &= (I - P_{\mathcal{N}}P_{\mathcal{S}})^{-1}(I - P_{\mathcal{N}}) \\ &= P_{\mathcal{S}}(P_{\mathcal{S}} + P_{\mathcal{N}} - P_{\mathcal{N}}P_{\mathcal{S}})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, $\|P_{\mathcal{S}//\mathcal{N}}\| = (I - \|P_{\mathcal{N}}P_{\mathcal{S}}\|^2)^{-1/2}$.

Remark 2.3. There is a formula, due to Kerzman and Stein [38], [39], which expresses, given a projector Q, the unique orthogonal projector P such that $R(P) = R(Q)$. Some of the expressions of $P_{\mathcal{S}//\mathcal{N}}$ given above follow from Kerzman-Stein's formula.

Definition 2.4. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a closed subspace of $\mathcal{H}$ and let $A \in L(\mathcal{H})^+$. We say that the pair $(A, \mathcal{S})$ is compatible if the set $\mathcal{P}(A, \mathcal{S})$ is not empty.

The following result, due to M.G. Krein [40], will be used, implicitely or explicitely, several times.

Lemma 2.5 (Krein). *Let Q be a projector with $R(Q) = \mathcal{S}$. Then Q is A-Hermitian if and only if $N(Q) \subseteq A^{-1}(\mathcal{S}^\perp)$. In particular, $Q \in \mathcal{P}(A, \mathcal{S})$ if and only if $N(Q) \subseteq A^{-1}(\mathcal{S}^\perp)$, so that $(A, \mathcal{S})$ is compatible if and only if $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{S} + A^{-1}(\mathcal{S}^\perp)$.*

Proof. Suppose that $AQ = Q^*A$ and consider ξ such that $\xi \in N(Q)$, then $\langle A\xi, Q\theta\rangle = \langle Q^*A\xi, \theta\rangle = \langle AQ\xi, \theta\rangle = 0$, for all $\theta \in \mathcal{H}$. Therefore $A\xi \in R(Q)^\perp$, or, equivalently, $\xi \in A^{-1}(R(Q)^\perp)$. Conversely, suppose that $N(Q) \subseteq A^{-1}(R(Q)^\perp)$ and consider $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}$. Decompose $\xi = \nu + \rho$ and $\eta = \nu' + \rho'$, where $Q\rho = \rho$, $Q\rho' = \rho'$ and $Q\nu = Q\nu' = 0$. Then $\langle AQ\xi, \eta\rangle = \langle AQ\rho, \nu' + \rho'\rangle = \langle A\rho, \rho'\rangle$ and $\langle Q^*A\xi, \eta\rangle = \langle A\rho, Q(\nu' + \rho')\rangle = \langle A\rho, \rho'\rangle$. Thus $AQ = Q^*A$. ∎

Observe that two projectors Q_1, Q_2 on $\mathcal{H}$ such that $R(Q_1) = R(Q_2)$ and $N(Q_1) \subseteq N(Q_2)$ are equal: every $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ can be written as $\xi = \rho + \nu$ with $\rho \in R(Q_1)$, $\nu \in N(Q_1)$; then $Q_1\xi = \rho$ and $Q_2\xi = \rho + Q_2\nu = \rho$ because $\nu \in N(Q_1) \subseteq N(Q_2)$. Using this remark, we prove the next result.

Corollary 2.6. *The set $\mathcal{P}(A, \mathcal{S})$ is parametrized by the set of all direct complements of $\mathcal{S}$ contained in $A^{-1}(\mathcal{S}^\perp)$.*

Remark 2.7. If $\mathcal{S} \cap N(A) = \{0\}$ the pair $(A, \mathcal{S})$ is compatible if and only if $\overline{A(\mathcal{S})} \oplus \mathcal{S}^\perp$ is closed. Indeed if $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}$ are closed subspaces, then $\mathcal{M}+\mathcal{N}$ is closed if and only if $\mathcal{M}^\perp + \mathcal{N}^\perp$ is closed (see theorem 4.8 of [37]); if $(A, \mathcal{S})$ is compatible then $\mathcal{S} \oplus A(\mathcal{S})^\perp = \mathcal{H}$, a fortiori $\mathcal{S} + A(\mathcal{S})^\perp$ is closed. Then $\mathcal{S}^\perp + \overline{A(\mathcal{S})}$ is closed. Besides $\mathcal{S}^\perp \cap \overline{A(\mathcal{S})} = (\mathcal{S} + A(\mathcal{S})^\perp)^\perp = \{0\}$. Conversely, if $\mathcal{S}^\perp \oplus \overline{A(\mathcal{S})}$ is closed, then $\mathcal{S}^\perp + \overline{A(\mathcal{S})} = \overline{\mathcal{S}^\perp + \overline{A(\mathcal{S})}} = (\mathcal{S} \cap A(\mathcal{S})^\perp)^\perp = (\mathcal{S} \cap N(A))^\perp = \mathcal{H}$. Again, if $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{S}^\perp + \overline{A(\mathcal{S})}$ then $\mathcal{S} + A(\mathcal{S})^\perp$ is closed and $(\mathcal{S} + A(\mathcal{S})^\perp)^\perp = \mathcal{S}^\perp \cap \overline{A(\mathcal{S})} = \{0\}$.

The following remarks may be helpful to understand the meaning of compatibility. If Q is a projector onto $\mathcal{S}$ then $Q \in \mathcal{P}(A, \mathcal{S})$ if and only if

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b^* & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ x^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b^* & c \end{pmatrix} .$$

It is easy to see that the four equations reduce to a single one, namely, $ax = b$. By Douglas theorem, $ax = b$ has a solution if and only if $R(b) \subseteq R(a)$ and, in this case, there is a unique $d \in L(\mathcal{S}^\perp, \mathcal{S})$ such that $ad = b$ and $R(d) \subseteq R(a)$.

As we saw, if $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b^* & c \end{pmatrix} \in L(\mathcal{H})^+$ then $R(a^{1/2}) \supseteq R(b)$. In general, $R(a) \subseteq R(a^{1/2}) \subseteq \overline{R(a)}$. Then, there is no much place for a, b to satisfy $R(b) \subseteq R(a^{1/2})$ and not satisfy $R(b) \subseteq R(a)$. In fact, given $\mathcal{S}$, the set $\Upsilon_{\mathcal{S}} = \{B \in L(\mathcal{H})^+ : (B, \mathcal{S}) \text{ is compatible}\}$ is everywhere dense in $L(\mathcal{H})^+$. Moreover, $GL(\mathcal{H})^+$ is dense in $L(\mathcal{H})^+$ and $GL(\mathcal{H})^+ \subseteq \Upsilon_{\mathcal{S}}$. Indeed, form the comments above, if $A \in GL(\mathcal{H})^+$, then $a \in GL(\mathcal{S})^+$, so that the equation $ax = b$ has the unique solution $x = a^{-1}b$. Then $\mathcal{P}(A, \mathcal{S}) = \{P_{A,\mathcal{S}}\}$, where $P_{A,\mathcal{S}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a^{-1}b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

The following result, which contains another parametrization of $\mathcal{P}(A, \mathcal{S})$, in terms of the set of solutions in $L(\mathcal{S}^\perp, \mathcal{S})$ of the equation $ax = b$, follows from the remarks above.

Theorem 2.8. *The pair $(A, \mathcal{S})$ is compatible if and only if $R(b) \subseteq R(a)$. In this case*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}(A, \mathcal{S}) &= \{P + PV(I - P) : V \in L(\mathcal{S}^\perp, \mathcal{S}), PAPV = PA|_{\mathcal{S}^\perp}\} \\ &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : ax = b \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We resume the conditions which are equivalent to compatibility in the next statemet:

Theorem 2.9. *Given a closed subspace $\mathcal{S}$ of $\mathcal{H}$ and a positive operator A on $\mathcal{H}$, the following conditions are equivalent:*

1. *$\mathcal{P}(A, \mathcal{S})$ is non empty;*
2. *$\mathcal{S} + \mathcal{S}^{\perp_A} = \mathcal{H}$;*
3. *there exist a closed subspace $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{S}^{\perp_A}$ such that $\mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{W} = \mathcal{H}$;*
4. *for the representation $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b^* & c \end{pmatrix}$ of A under the decomposition $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{S}^\perp$, it holds that $R(b) \subseteq R(a)$.*

Example 2.10. If $A \in L(\mathcal{H})^+$ has a dense non closed image in $\mathcal{H}$, then

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} A & A^{1/2} \\ A^{1/2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

belongs to $L(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H})^+$ because $B = TT^*$ for $T : H \to \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$ defined by $T\xi = (A^{1/2}\xi, \xi)$. On the other hand, $R(A^{1/2})$ properly contains $R(A)$, so that B and $\mathcal{H} \oplus \{0\}$ are not compatible. In the same order of ideas, let $C = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in L(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H})^+$. Then $(C, \mathcal{H} \oplus \{0\})$ is a compatible pair and $R(C) = R(A)$ is non closed.

Suppose that $(A,\mathcal{S})$ is compatible. Denote by $P_{A,\mathcal{S}}$ the unique member of $\mathcal{P}(A,\mathcal{S})$ determined by the reduced solution d of $ae=b$: $P_{A,\mathcal{S}}=\begin{pmatrix}1 & d\\ 0 & 0\end{pmatrix}$. Then $\mathcal{P}(A,\mathcal{S})$ is an affine manifold identified with $\{T\in L(\mathcal{H}) : T|_{\mathcal{S}}=0, T(\mathcal{S}^{\perp})\subseteq\mathcal{N}\}$. In particular, $\mathcal{P}(A,\mathcal{S})$ has a unique element if and only if $\mathcal{N}=\{0\}$. If $\mathcal{N}\neq\{0\}$, then $\|P_{A,\mathcal{S}}\|\leq\|Q\|$ for all $Q\in\mathcal{P}(A,\mathcal{S})$. For a proof of these facts, see [19].

Theorem 2.11. *Let A and $\mathcal{S}$ be compatible. Denote by $\mathcal{N}=(A\mathcal{S})^{\perp}\cap\mathcal{S}=N(A)\cap\mathcal{S}$. Then $N(P_{A,\mathcal{S}})=(A\mathcal{S})^{\perp}\ominus\mathcal{N}$.*

Proof. Both projectors have the same image, namely $\mathcal{S}$. It suffices to show that $N(P_{A,\mathcal{S}})\subseteq(A\mathcal{S})^{\perp}\ominus\mathcal{N}$. Recall that $P_{A,\mathcal{S}}=\begin{pmatrix}1 & d\\ 0 & 0\end{pmatrix}$ where $A=\begin{pmatrix}a & b\\ b^{*} & c\end{pmatrix}$ and d is the reduced solution of $ax=b$, i.e., $ad=b$ and $R(d)\subseteq\overline{R(a)}$. If $\xi=\sigma+\sigma^{\perp}\in N(P_{A,\mathcal{S}})$ then $\sigma+d\sigma^{\perp}=0$ and $\xi=-d\sigma^{\perp}+\sigma^{\perp}$. We must prove $-ds^{\perp}+s^{\perp}\in\mathcal{W}=(A\mathcal{S})^{\perp}\ominus\mathcal{N}$. First, let us show $-d\sigma^{\perp}+\sigma^{\perp}\in(A,\mathcal{S})^{\perp}$, or, equivalently, that $A(-d\sigma^{\perp}+\sigma^{\perp})\in\mathcal{S}^{\perp}$; but $(-d\sigma^{\perp}+\sigma^{\perp})=\begin{pmatrix}a & b\\ b^{*} & c\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}-d\sigma^{\perp}\\ \sigma^{\perp}\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}0\\ -b^{*}d\sigma^{\perp}+c\sigma^{\perp}\end{pmatrix}\in\mathcal{S}^{\perp}$. Next, we must show that $-d\sigma^{\perp}+\sigma^{\perp}\in(\mathcal{S}\cap N(A))^{\perp}$. By the definition of d, $-d\sigma^{\perp}=\lim a\sigma_n$ for some sequence $\{\sigma_n\}$ in $\mathcal{S}$. Given $\sigma\in\mathcal{S}\cap N(A)$, $a\sigma=A\sigma=0$, so that $\langle-d\sigma^{\perp}+\sigma^{\perp},\sigma\rangle=\langle-d\sigma^{\perp},\sigma\rangle=\lim\langle a\sigma_n,\sigma\rangle=\lim\langle\sigma_n,a\sigma\rangle=0$. This finishes the proof. ∎

Remark 2.12. Under additional hypothesis on A, another characterizations of compatibility and formulas for $P_{A,\mathcal{S}}$ can be used. We mention a sample of these, taken from [19] and [20]:

1. If $R(PAP)$ is closed (or, equivalently, if $R(PA^{1/2})$ or $A^{1/2}(\mathcal{S})$ are closed), then $(A,\mathcal{S})$ is compatible. Indeed, if $A=\begin{pmatrix}a & b\\ b^{*} & c\end{pmatrix}$, the positivity of A implies that $R(b)\subseteq R(a^{1/2})$ (see, e.g., [4]). If $R(PAP)=R(a)$ is closed, then $R(b)\subseteq R(a^{1/2})=R(a)$ so that $(A,\mathcal{S})$ is compatible, by Theorem 2.8. In this case,
$$P_{A,\mathcal{S}}=\begin{pmatrix}1 & a^{\dagger}b\\ 0 & 0\end{pmatrix}, \tag{2}$$
since $a=PAP$ has closed range, and $a^{\dagger}b$ is the reduced solution of $ax=b$. In particular, if $\mathcal{N}=N(a)=N(A)\cap\mathcal{S}=\{0\}$ (i. e. $R(a)=\mathcal{S}$), one gets
$$P_{A,\mathcal{S}}=(PAP)^{\dagger}PA. \tag{3}$$
Otherwise, $P_{A,\mathcal{S}}=P_{\mathcal{N}}+(PAP)^{\dagger}PA$.
2. If A has closed range then the following conditions are equivalent:
   (a) The pair $(A,\mathcal{S})$ is compatible.
   (b) $R(PAP)$ is closed.
   (c) $R(AP)$ is closed.
   (d) $\mathcal{S}^{\perp}+R(A)$ is closed.

3. If P, Q are orthogonal projectors with $R(P) = \mathcal{S}$, then $(Q, \mathcal{S})$ is compatible if and only if $R(QP)$ is closed. Moreover, if $(Q, \mathcal{S})$ is compatible, then $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{S} + Q^{-1}(\mathcal{S}^\perp) = \mathcal{S} + (R(Q) \cap \mathcal{S}^\perp) + N(Q)$ and, if $\mathcal{N} = N(Q) \cap \mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{S} \ominus \mathcal{N}$, then

   (a) $P_{Q,\mathcal{S}} = P_{\mathcal{N}} + P_{Q,\ \mathcal{M}}$.

   (b) $\mathcal{M} \oplus (N(Q) \perp (R(Q) \cap \mathcal{S}^\perp)) = \mathcal{H}$, and $P_{Q,\ \mathcal{M}}$ is the projector onto $\mathcal{M}$ given by this decomposition.

   (c) In the particular case that $N(Q) \cap \mathcal{S} = \{0\} = R(Q) \cap \mathcal{S}^\perp$, then
   $$\mathcal{S} \oplus N(Q) = \mathcal{H}$$
   and $P_{Q,\mathcal{S}}$ is the projector given by this decomposition, i.e., $N(P_{Q,\mathcal{S}}) = N(Q)$.

   (d) $\|P_{Q,\mathcal{S}}\| = \|P_{Q,\ \mathcal{M}}\| = (1 - \|(1-Q)P_{\mathcal{M}}\|^2)^{-1/2}$

Remark 2.13. Consider the following conditions:
1. The pair $(A, \mathcal{S})$ is compatible;
2. $A(\mathcal{S})$ is closed in $R(A)$;
3. $A^{-1}(\overline{A(\mathcal{S})}) = \mathcal{S} + N(A)$;
4. $A^{1/2}(\mathcal{S})$ is closed in $R(A^{1/2})$;
5. $\mathcal{S} + N(A)$ is closed;
6. $P_{\overline{R(A)}}(\mathcal{S})$ is closed, where $P_{\overline{R(A)}}$ is the orthogonal projector onto $\overline{R(A)}$.

A precise description of the relationships among them is provided by the implications: $1 \to 2 \leftrightarrow 3 \to 4 \to 5 \leftrightarrow 6$. Moreover, $(A, \mathcal{S})$ is compatible if and only if $P_{\overline{R(A)}}\ (\mathcal{S})$ is closed and $(A, P_{\overline{R(A)}}\ (\mathcal{S}))$ is compatible.

The next result is a characterization of compatibility in terms of orthogonal decompositions of $R(A)$ and $R(A^{1/2})$.

Proposition 2.14. *Given $A \in L(\mathcal{H})^+$, the following conditions are equivalent:*
1. *The pair $(A, \mathcal{S})$ is compatible.*
2. *$R(A) = A(\mathcal{S}) \oplus \mathcal{S}^\perp \cap R(A)$.*
3. *$R(A^{1/2}) = A^{1/2}(\mathcal{S}) \oplus A^{1/2}(\mathcal{S})^\perp \cap R(A^{1/2})$.*
4. *If $\mathcal{M} = \overline{A^{1/2}(\mathcal{S})}$, then $R(P_{\mathcal{M}} A^{1/2}) \subseteq R(A^{1/2}P)$.*

Proof.
$1 \leftrightarrow 2$: If $(A, \mathcal{S})$ is compatible then $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{S} + A^{-1}(\mathcal{S}^\perp)$ so that
$$R(A) = A(\mathcal{S}) + A(A^{-1}(\mathcal{S}^\perp)) = A(\mathcal{S}) + \mathcal{S}^\perp \cap R(A);$$
conversely, if $R(A) = A(\mathcal{S}) \oplus \mathcal{S}^\perp \cap R(A)$ holds, then $\mathcal{H} = A^{-1}(R(A)) = A^{-1}(A(\mathcal{S})) + A^{-1}(\mathcal{S}^\perp \cap R(A))$. But $A^{-1}(\mathcal{S}^\perp \cap R(A)) = A^{-1}(\mathcal{S}^\perp)$ and $A^{-1}(A(\mathcal{S})) = S + N(A)$, so that $\mathcal{H} = S + N(A) + A^{-1}(\mathcal{S}^\perp) = S + A^{-1}(\mathcal{S}^\perp)$, because $N(A) \subseteq A^{-1}(\mathcal{S}^\perp)$.
$1 \leftrightarrow 3$: similar to $(1) \leftrightarrow (2)$.
$3 \leftrightarrow 4$: If $y \in R(A^{1/2})$ then $y = y_1 + y_2$ for unique $y_1 \in A^{1/2}(\mathcal{S})$ and $y_2 \in A^{1/2}(\mathcal{S})^\perp$; but, then, $P_{\mathcal{M}}(y) = y_1 \in A^{1/2}(\mathcal{S}) = R(A^{1/2}P)$. The converse is similar. ∎

As a consequence of proposition 2.14, it is easy to see that $(A, \mathcal{S})$ is compatible if and only if $A^{1/2}(\mathcal{S})$ is closed in $R(A^{1/2})$ and

$$R(A^{1/2}) = \overline{A^{1/2}(\mathcal{S})} \cap R(A^{1/2}) \oplus A^{1/2}(\mathcal{S})^{\perp} \cap R(A^{1/2}).$$

More generally, given a closed subspace $\mathcal{S}$ of $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{W} = A^{-1/2}(\overline{A^{1/2}(\mathcal{S})})$, then $(A, \mathcal{W})$ is compatible if and only if $R(A^{1/2}) = \overline{A^{1/2}(\mathcal{S})} \cap R(A^{1/2}) \oplus A^{1/2}(\mathcal{S})^{\perp} \cap R(A^{1/2})$: in fact, if $(A, \mathcal{W})$ is compatible then, by proposition 2.14, $R(A^{1/2}) = A^{1/2}(\mathcal{W}) + A^{1/2}(\mathcal{W})^{\perp} \cap R(A^{1/2})$. On one hand, $A^{1/2}(\mathcal{W}) = \overline{A^{1/2}(S)} \cap R(A^{1/2})$; on the other hand, since $A^{1/2}(\mathcal{S}) \subseteq A^{1/2}(\mathcal{W}) \subseteq \overline{A^{1/2}(\mathcal{S})}$, we get $A^{1/2}(\mathcal{S})^{\perp} = A^{1/2}(\mathcal{W})^{\perp}$. Thus,

$$R(A^{1/2}) = \overline{A^{1/2}(\mathcal{S})} \cap R(A^{1/2}) + A^{1/2}(\mathcal{S})^{\perp} \cap R(A^{1/2}),$$

and, of course, the sum is direct. The converse is similar.

A notion which is naturally related to oblique projectors is that of angle between subspaces. We consider here two non equivalent definitions of angles and we show a characterization of the compatibility of $(A, \mathcal{S})$ in terms of these angles. For excellent treatments on angles in Hilbert spaces the reader is referred to the survey by Deutsch [24] or the book by A. Ben-Israel and T. N. E. Greville [12]

Given two subspaces $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}$, the cosine of the *Friedrichs angle* between them is defined by

$$c(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}) = \sup\{|\langle \xi, \eta \rangle| : \ \xi \in \mathcal{S} \cap (\mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{T})^{\perp}, \ \ \|\xi\| < 1, \ \eta \in \mathcal{T} \cap (\mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{T})^{\perp}, \ \ \|\eta\| < 1\}.$$

It is well known (see theorem 13 of [24]) that the following conditions are equivalent:

1. $c(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}) < 1$;
2. $\mathcal{S} + \mathcal{T}$ is closed;
3. $\mathcal{S}^{\perp} + \mathcal{T}^{\perp}$ is closed;
4. $c(\mathcal{S}^{\perp}, \mathcal{T}^{\perp}) < 1$.

The formulas $\|P_{\mathcal{S}} P_{\mathcal{T}}\| = c(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T})$ [24] and $\|P_{\mathcal{S}//\mathcal{T}}\| = (1 - c(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{S})^2)^{-1/2}$ [49] relate this notion with oblique projectors.

The *minimal angle* between $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{T}$ is the angle whose cosine is defined by

$$c_o(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}) = \sup\{|\langle \xi, \eta \rangle| : \ \xi \in \mathcal{S}, \ \|\xi\| < 1, \ \ \eta \in \mathcal{T}, \ \|\eta\| < 1\}.$$

Observe that $c(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}) \leq c_0(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T})$ and $c(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}) = c_0(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T})$ when $\mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{T} = \{0\}$.

Theorem 2.15. *Consider $A \in L(\mathcal{H})^+$. Then $(A, \mathcal{S})$ is compatible if and only if it holds that $c_0(\mathcal{S}^{\perp}, \overline{A(\mathcal{S})}) < 1$.*

Proof.

If $(A, \mathcal{S})$ is compatible then $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{S} + A^{-1}(\mathcal{S}^{\perp})$, so that $\mathcal{S} + A^{-1}(\mathcal{S}^{\perp})$ is closed. By the remarks above and the identity $A^{-1}(\mathcal{S}^{\perp}) = (A\mathcal{S})^{\perp}$, we get $c(\mathcal{S}, A^{-1}(\mathcal{S}^{\perp})) < 1$ or equivalently, $c(\mathcal{S}^{\perp}, \overline{A(\mathcal{S})}) < 1$. But $\mathcal{S}^{\perp} \cap \overline{A(\mathcal{S})} = (\mathcal{S} + A^{-1}(\mathcal{S}^{\perp}))^{\perp} = \mathcal{H}^{\perp} = \{0\}$. Therefore, $c_0(\mathcal{S}^{\perp}, \overline{A(\mathcal{S})}) = c(\mathcal{S}^{\perp}, \overline{A(\mathcal{S})}) < 1$.

Conversely, if $c_0(\mathcal{S}^{\perp}, \overline{A(\mathcal{S})}) < 1$ then $\mathcal{S}^{\perp} \cap \overline{A(\mathcal{S})} = \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{S}^{\perp} + \overline{A(\mathcal{S})}$ is closed; therefore, $\mathcal{S} + A(\mathcal{S})^{\perp}$ is closed; also $(\mathcal{S} + A(\mathcal{S})^{\perp})^{\perp} = \mathcal{S}^{\perp} \cap \overline{A(\mathcal{S})} = \{0\}$. Then $\mathcal{S} + A(\mathcal{S})^{\perp} = \mathcal{H}$ and $(A, \mathcal{S})$ is compatible. ∎

Remark 2.16.

1. If A has closed range then, by Remark 2.12, the pair $(A, \mathcal{S})$ is compatible if and only if $R(AP)$ is closed. Note that this is equivalent to the angle condition $c(N(A), \mathcal{S}) < 1$.
2. If P, Q are orthogonal projectors with $R(P) = \mathcal{S}$, denote by $\mathcal{N} = N(Q) \cap \mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{S} \ominus \mathcal{N}$. Then, again by Remark 2.12,

$$\|P_{Q,\mathcal{S}}\| = \|P_{Q,\ \mathcal{M}}\| = (1 - \|(1-Q)P_{\mathcal{M}}\|^2)^{-1/2} = (1 - c(N(Q), \mathcal{S})^2)^{-1/2}.$$

3. Formulas for $P_{A,S}$. This section is devoted to present several explicit formulas for $P_{A,\mathcal{S}}$ in terms of the orthogonal projectors onto $\mathcal{S}$, $\mathcal{W} = A(\mathcal{S})^{\perp} \ominus (\mathcal{S} \cap N(A))$ and $\mathcal{W}^{\perp}$. Afriat [1], Greville [32] and Ptak [49] have proven this type of formulas, the first two in finite dimension settings. Some of these formulas seem to have been known by V. E. Ljance [43]. Consider $A \in L(\mathcal{H})^+$ and $\mathcal{S}$ a closed subspace of $\mathcal{H}$ such that $(A, \mathcal{S})$ is compatible. Denote $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{S} \cap A(\mathcal{S})^{\perp} = \mathcal{S} \cap N(A)$ and $\mathcal{W} = A(\mathcal{S})^{\perp} \ominus \mathcal{N}$. Then, as it is shown in theorem 3.5 of [22], $\mathcal{W}$ is the kernel of $P_{A,\mathcal{S}}$ so that it holds $P_{A,\mathcal{S}} = P_{\mathcal{S}//\mathcal{W}}$, the oblique projector onto $\mathcal{S}$, along $\mathcal{W}$. Afriat [1] and Greville [32] exhibited formulas for an oblique projector Q in terms of the orthogonal projectors onto $R(Q)$ and $N(Q)$, by using the Moore-Penrose pseudoinverse. However, in order to use the same method in our infinite dimensional setting we need to know that the operator whose Moore-Penrose pseudoinverse is considered has closed range [23]. This justifies the need of a proof for the first part of the next result. The rest of the proof follows without change Greville's arguments.

Lemma 3.1.

1. *$(A, \mathcal{S})$ is compatible if and only if $P_{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}} P_{\mathcal{S}}$ has closed range.*
2. *If the pair $(A, \mathcal{S})$ is compatible then*

   (a) $P_{A,\mathcal{S}} = (P_{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}} P_{\mathcal{S}})^{\dagger}$.

   (b) $P_{A,\mathcal{S}} = (I - P_{\mathcal{S}} P_{\mathcal{W}})^{-1} P_{\mathcal{S}} (I - P_{\mathcal{S}} P_{\mathcal{W}})$.

   (c) $P_{A,\mathcal{S}} = (I - P_{\mathcal{W}} P_{\mathcal{S}})^{-1} (I - P_{\mathcal{W}}) = P_{\mathcal{S}} (P_{\mathcal{S}} + P_{\mathcal{W}} - P_{\mathcal{W}} P_{\mathcal{S}})^{-1}$.

Proof. If $(A, \mathcal{S})$ is compatible then $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{W}$ by the remarks above. Observe first that $R(P_{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}} P_{\mathcal{S}}) = \mathcal{W}^{\perp}$: for this, it suffices to show the inclusion $\mathcal{W}^{\perp} \subseteq R(P_{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}} P_{\mathcal{S}})$, because the reversal is evident. If $\xi \in \mathcal{W}^{\perp}$, then ξ decomposes as $\xi = \sigma + \omega$, $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{W}$, so that $\xi = P_{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}} x = P_{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}} \sigma \in P_{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}} \mathcal{S} = R(P_{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}} P_{\mathcal{S}})$.

Conversely, if $P_{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}} P_{\mathcal{S}}$ has closed range then $(P_{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}} P_{\mathcal{S}})^{\dagger}$ is a bounded linear operator. Greville's arguments for matrices [32] can be used almost without changes to prove that $(P_{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}} P_{\mathcal{S}})^{\dagger}$ is an idempotent with range $\mathcal{S}$ and kernel $\mathcal{W}$. Then $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{W} = \mathcal{S} + A(\mathcal{S})^{\perp}$ and $(A, \mathcal{S})$ is compatible. The formulas of part 2 follow from the fact that $P_{A,\mathcal{S}} = P_{\mathcal{S}//\mathcal{W}}$, using Theorem 2.2 ∎

Corollary 3.2. *If the pair $(A, \mathcal{S})$ is compatible and $\mathcal{N} = \{0\}$ then* $P_{A,\mathcal{S}} = \left(P_{\overline{A(\mathcal{S})}} P_{\mathcal{S}}\right)^{\dagger} = \left(I - P_{\mathcal{S}} P_{A(\mathcal{S})^{\perp}}\right)^{-1} P_{\mathcal{S}} \left(I - P_{\mathcal{S}} P_{A(\mathcal{S})^{\perp}}\right) = \left(I - P_{A(\mathcal{S})^{\perp}} P_{\mathcal{S}}\right)^{-1} \left(I - P_{A(\mathcal{S})^{\perp}}\right)$.

The shorted operator of A to $\mathcal{S}$ is $A/_{\mathcal{S}} = \sup\{X \in L(\mathcal{H})^\dagger : X \leq A \text{ and } R(X) \subseteq \mathcal{S}\}$. In [48], Pekarev proved

$$A/_{\mathcal{S}^\perp} = A^{1/2} P_{\mathcal{M}^\perp} A^{1/2},$$

where $\mathcal{M} = \overline{A^{1/2}(\mathcal{S})}$. Let us show a formula for $P_{A,\mathcal{S}}$ in the spirit of Pekarev's. The relationship between the projectors in $\mathcal{P}(A,\mathcal{S})$ and $A/_{\mathcal{S}^\perp}$ is given by the formula $A/_{\mathcal{S}^\perp} = AE$, which holds for every projector E such that $1-E \in \mathcal{P}(A,\mathcal{S})$ (see [19]). In particular $A/_{\mathcal{S}^\perp} = A(1-P_{A,\mathcal{S}})$ and, if A were invertible, we can compute

$$P_{A,\mathcal{S}} = A^{-1}(A - A/_{\mathcal{S}^\perp}) = A^{-1/2} P_{\mathcal{M}} A^{1/2}$$

In order to get a generalization of this formula, we consider firstly the injective case:

Proposition 3.3. *Let $A \in L(\mathcal{H})^+$ injective such that $(A,\mathcal{S})$ is compatible. Then*

$$P_{A,\mathcal{S}} = A^{-1/2} P_{\mathcal{M}} A^{1/2}$$

where $\mathcal{M} = \overline{A^{1/2}(\mathcal{S})}$.

Proof. Observe that in this case $\mathcal{P}(A,\mathcal{S}) = \{P_{A,\mathcal{S}}\}$ because $S \cap N(A) = \{0\}$. Denote by $Q = A^{-1/2} P_{\mathcal{M}} A^{1/2}$. Then Q is well defined because $A^{-1/2} : R(A^{1/2}) \to \mathcal{H}$ and $R(P_{\mathcal{M}} A^{1/2}) \subseteq R(A^{1/2})$, by theorem 2.14. It is easy to see that $Q^2 = Q$ and that $N(Q) = A(\mathcal{S})^\perp$: in fact, $\xi \in N(Q)$ if and only if $P_{\mathcal{M}} A^{1/2}\xi = 0$, i.e., $A^{1/2}\xi \in A^{1/2}(\mathcal{S})^\perp$, or, which is the same, $\xi \in A^{-1/2}(A^{-1/2}(\mathcal{S}^\perp)) = A^{-1}(\mathcal{S}^\perp)$. On the other hand, by the definition of Q, $R(Q) \subseteq A^{-1/2}(\mathcal{M}) = A^{-1/2}\left(\overline{A^{1/2}(\mathcal{S})}\right) = A^{-1/2}\left(\overline{A^{1/2}(\mathcal{S})} \cap R(A^{1/2})\right) = A^{-1/2}(A^{1/2}(\mathcal{S})) = \mathcal{S}$ because, by theorem 2.13, $A^{1/2}(\mathcal{S})$ is closed in $R(A^{1/2})$; this proves that $R(Q) \subseteq \mathcal{S}$. Conversely, if $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}$, then $Qs = A^{-1/2} P_{\mathcal{M}} A^{1/2}\sigma = \sigma$. Then $R(Q) = \mathcal{S}$ and $Q = P_{A,\mathcal{S}}$. ∎

We generalize this formula for any (not necessarily injective) $A \in L(\mathcal{H})^+$. For $B \in L(\mathcal{H})^+$ denote

$$B^\sharp = \left(B|_{\overline{R(B)}}\right)^{-1} : R(B) \to \overline{R(B)} \subseteq \mathcal{H}.$$

Observe that $B^\sharp$ is a linear, not necessarily bounded operator. If $R(B)$ is closed, then $B^\sharp P_{R(B)} = B^\dagger$.

Proposition 3.4. *Consider $A \in L(\mathcal{H})^+$ such that $(A,\mathcal{S})$ is compatible. Denote by $\mathcal{M} = \overline{A^{1/2}(\mathcal{S})}$.*

1. *If $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \overline{R(A)}$ then $P_{A,\mathcal{S}} = (A^{1/2})^\sharp P_{\mathcal{M}} A^{1/2}$.*
2. *If $\mathcal{S} \cap N(A) = \{0\}$ then $P_{A,\mathcal{S}} = \left(P_{\overline{R(A)}} P_{\mathcal{S}}\right)^\dagger P_{A,P_{\overline{R(A)}}(\mathcal{S})} = \left(P_{\overline{R(A)}} P_{\mathcal{S}}\right)^\dagger (A^{1/2})^\sharp P_{\mathcal{M}} A^{1/2}$.*

Proof. Observe that $\mathcal{P}(A,\mathcal{S}) = \{P_{A,\mathcal{S}}\}$ because $\mathcal{S} \cap N(A) = \{0\}$ in both cases.

1. If $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \overline{R(A)}$ and $Q = (A^{1/2})^\sharp P_{\mathcal{M}} A^{1/2}$ then Q is well defined because $P_{\mathcal{M}}(R(A^{1/2})) \subseteq R(A^{1/2})$, by proposition 2.14. On one hand $P_{\mathcal{M}}(R(A^{1/2})) \subseteq \mathcal{M} \cap R(A^{1/2}) = A^{1/2}(\mathcal{S})$, because, by remark 2.13, $A^{1/2}(\mathcal{S})$ is closed in $R(A^{1/2})$ thus, $R(Q) \subseteq (A^{1/2})^\sharp A^{1/2}(\mathcal{S}) = \mathcal{S}$. On the other hand, it holds $Q\sigma = \sigma$, for all $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}$, because $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \overline{R(A)}$. Then $R(Q) = \mathcal{S}$. It is easy to see that $N(Q) = A^{-1}(\mathcal{S}^\perp)$; thus, $Q = P_{A,\mathcal{S}}$.
2. If $\mathcal{S} \cap N(A) = \{0\}$ then the subspace $\mathcal{S}' = P_{\overline{R(A)}}(\mathcal{S})$ is closed because $(A,\mathcal{S})$ is compatible, $\mathcal{S}' \subseteq \overline{R(A)}$ and $(A,\mathcal{S}')$ is compatible (see proposition 2.13). Also

$\overline{A^{1/2}(\mathcal{S}')} = \overline{A^{1/2}(\mathcal{S})} = \mathcal{M}$, so that $P_{A,\mathcal{S}'} = (A^{1/2})^\sharp P_{\mathcal{M}} A^{1/2}$. Now, $R(P_{\overline{R(A)}} P_{\mathcal{S}}) = \mathcal{S}'$ is closed and $N(P_{\overline{R(A)}} P_{\mathcal{S}}) = \mathcal{S}^\perp$: the proof is straightforward. ∎

In the general case the set $\mathcal{P}(A,\mathcal{S})$ can be parametrized by means of the set of complements $\mathcal{L}$ of $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{S} \cap N(A)$ in $\mathcal{S}$. More precisely:

Proposition 3.5. *Let $Q \in Q$ and consider $A \in L(\mathcal{H})^+$ such that $(A,\mathcal{S})$ is compatible. Let $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{S} \cap N(A)$. Then $Q \in \mathcal{P}(A,\mathcal{S})$ if and only if there exists a (unique) closed subspace $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ such that $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{N} \oplus \mathcal{L}$, $\mathcal{L} + N(Q)$ is closed, $\mathcal{S} + N(Q) = \mathcal{H}$ and*

$$Q = P_{A,\mathcal{L}} + P_{\mathcal{N}//(\mathcal{L}+N(Q))}.$$

Proof.

⇐) Observe that $\mathcal{N} + \mathcal{L} + N(Q) = \mathcal{S} + N(Q) = \mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{L} + N(Q)$ is closed so that $Q' = P_{\mathcal{N}//(\mathcal{L}+N(Q))}$ is a well defined (oblique) projector. If $Q = P_{A,\mathcal{L}} + Q'$ then it is easy to see that $Q \in \mathcal{P}(A,\mathcal{S})$.

⇒) Consider $Q \in \mathcal{P}(A,\mathcal{S})$ and let $W = P_{\mathcal{N}} Q$ then $R(W) = \mathcal{N}$. From $QP_{\mathcal{N}} = P_{\mathcal{N}}$ we get that $W^2 = W$. Let $T = Q - W$; T is A-selfadjoint because Q and W are both A-selfadjoint; equality $T^2 = T$ follows from $QW = W = WQ$. Therefore $Q = T + W$, with $T^2 = T$ and $W^2 = W$. Let $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{S} \cap N(W)$. It follows easily that $T = P_{A,\mathcal{L}}$, $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{L} + \mathcal{N}$ and $N(W) = \mathcal{L} + N(Q)$. ∎

Let $C \in L(\mathcal{H})$ such that $R(C) = \mathcal{S}$ is closed, and $A \in L(\mathcal{H})^+$ with closed range. Formula (3) suggests the natural generalization, which is widely used in the finite dimensional case:

$$P_{A,\mathcal{S}} \overset{?}{=} C(C^*AC)^\dagger C^*A. \tag{4}$$

In general, the formula is false for many reasons. For instance, $(C^*AC)^\dagger$ is unbounded if $R(C^*AC)$ is not closed; or $C(C^*AC)^\dagger C^*A$ may have range strictly contained in $\mathcal{S}$. However, the wide range of applications of the right side of formula (4) makes it desirable to establish its exact relationship with $P_{A,\mathcal{S}}$. In fact, projectors like $C(C^*AC)^\dagger C^*A$ appear explicitely in papers on scaled projections [53], [46], [34], [31], [60], [14], linear least squares problems [28], [29], linear feasibility [28], [29], [17], signal processing [36], [10], [58] and so on.

A first observation is that one needs to verify if $R(C^*AC)$ is closed. An interesting fact, which generalizes item 2 of Remark 2.12, is that $R(C^*AC)$ is closed if and only if $(A,\mathcal{S})$ is compatible. Indeed, note that $R(C^*AC)$ is closed if and only if $R(A^{1/2}CC^*A^{1/2})$ is closed. Since $R(C) = \mathcal{S}$ is closed, there exist $a, b > 0$ such that $aP \leq CC^* \leq bP$, so that

$$aA^{1/2}PA^{1/2} \leq A^{1/2}CC^*A^{1/2} \leq bA^{1/2}PA^{1/2}.$$

This implies, by Douglas theorem, the identity

$$R((A^{1/2}CC^*A^{1/2})^{1/2}) = R(A^{1/2}P) = A^{1/2}(\mathcal{S}),$$

which is closed if and only if $(A,\mathcal{S})$ is compatible, by Remark 2.12.

Suppose now that $(A,\mathcal{S})$ is compatible. If $\mathcal{N} = N(A) \cap \mathcal{S}$, we shall see that

$$P_{A,\mathcal{S}} = P_{\mathcal{N}} + C(C^*AC)^\dagger C^*A, \tag{5}$$

showing that formula (4) holds if and only if $N(A) \cap \mathcal{S} = \{0\}$.

Denote by $Q = C(C^*AC)^\dagger C^*A$. It is clear that $Q^2 = Q$, $R(Q) \subseteq R(C) = \mathcal{S}$ and $AQ = Q^*A$. Therefore, Q is an A-selfadjoint projector onto a subspace of $\mathcal{S}$. Also, since C and $(C^*AC)^\dagger$ are injective on $R(C^*)$,

$$N(Q) = N(C^*A) = A^{-1}(N(C^*)) = A^{-1}(\mathcal{S}^\perp).$$

The next step is to show that $R(Q) = \mathcal{S} \ominus \mathcal{N}$. Note that

$$R(C^*A) = C^*(R(A)) = C^*(R(A^{1/2})) = R(C^*A^{1/2}).$$

Hence $R(C^*A) = R((C^*AC)^\dagger C^*A^{1/2})$ and $R(Q) = R(CC^*A^{1/2}) = R(CC^*A)$. But

$$N(ACC^*) = N(CC^*) \perp (R(CC^*) \cap N(A)) = \mathcal{S}^\perp \perp \mathcal{N},$$

so that $R(Q) = N(ACC^*)^\perp = \mathcal{S} \ominus \mathcal{N}$, as claimed. This fact clearly shows that $Q \in \mathcal{P}(A, \mathcal{S} \ominus \mathcal{N}) = \{P_{A,\mathcal{S}\ominus\mathcal{N}}\}$ (since $(\mathcal{S} \ominus \mathcal{N})^{\perp_A} = \mathcal{S}^{\perp_A}$) and also shows formula (5).

It is shown in [6] that for every projector Q onto a closed subspace $\mathcal{S}$, there exists an invertible positive $A \in L(\mathcal{H})$ such that $Q = P_{A,\mathcal{S}}$. This can be rewritten as follows:

Proposition 3.6. *Let $\mathcal{S} \in \mathcal{H}$ be a closed subspace and $C \in L(\mathcal{H})$ with $R(C) = \mathcal{S}$. Let $A \in L(\mathcal{H})^+$ with closed range. Then*

1. *$(A, \mathcal{S})$ is compatible if and only if $R(C^*AC)$ is closed.*
2. *If $N(A) \cap \mathcal{S} = \mathcal{N}$, then*
$$P_{A,\mathcal{S}} = P_{\mathcal{N}} + C(C^*AC)^\dagger C^*A.$$
3. *For every $Q \in L(\mathcal{H})$ such that $Q^2 = Q$ and $R(Q) = \mathcal{S}$, there exists an invertible positive $A \in L(\mathcal{H})$ such that*
$$Q = C(C^*AC)^{-1}C^*A.$$

Final comments and open problems. The structure of the set $\mp_{\mathcal{S}} = \{A \in L(\mathcal{H})^+ : (A, \mathcal{S}) \text{ is compatible}\}$ is not completely known. We have observed that $GL(\mathcal{H})^+$ is contained in $\mp_{\mathcal{S}}$. Of course, if $\mathcal{S}$ is finite-dimensional, then $\mp_{\mathcal{S}} = L(\mathcal{H})^+$.

The extension of compatibility questions to Hermitian operators instead of positive operators is a much more difficult problem. The reader can fin in [35], [19] and [44] some resuts in this direction.

Compatibility is relates to some problems arising from wavelet and frame theory. The paper [7] deals with some problems in this area.

A difficult and very useful problem consists in determining conditions which ensure the convergence of sequences like $\{P_{A_n,\mathcal{S}}\}$ and $\{P_{A,\mathcal{S}_n}\}$. A sample of this type of results can be found in [21].

Given $Q \in \mathcal{Q}^{\mathcal{S}}$, it is known that $\chi_Q = \{A \in L(\mathcal{H})^+ : Q \in \mathcal{P}(A, \mathcal{S})\}$ is not empty and the set $\chi_Q \cap GL(\mathcal{H})^+$ is characterized [6]. However, in general, the structure of χ_Q is unknown and it would be interesting to have optimality criteria for choosing $A \in \chi_Q$.

References

[1] S. N. Afriat, Orthogonal and oblique projectors and the characteristics of pairs of vector spaces, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 53(1957), 800-816.

[2] A. Aldroubi, Oblique projections in atomic spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 124 (1996), 2051-2060.
[3] A. Aldroubi, Oblique and hierarchical multiwavelet bases, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. 4, No.3, 231-263.
[4] W. N. Anderson and G. E. Trapp, Shorted operators II, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 28 (1975), 60-71.
[5] T. Ando, De Branges spaces and analytic operator functions, Research Institute of Applied Electricity, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan, (1990).
[6] E. Andruchow, G. Corach and D. Stojanoff, Geometry of oblique projections, Studia Math. 137 (1) (1999) 61-79.
[7] J. Antezana, G. Corach, M. A. Ruiz and D. Stojanoff, Scaled projectors and Riesz frames, preprint.
[8] M. Atteia; Généralisation de la définition et des propriétés des "spline fonctions". C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 260 (1965), 3550-3553.
[9] J. K. Baksalary and R. Kala; Two relations between oblique and Λ-orthogonal projections. Linear Algebra Appl. 24 (1979), 99-103.
[10] R. T. Behrens and L. L. Scharf; Signal processing applications of oblique projection operators 42 (1994), 1413-1424.
[11] J. Benedetto; Frames, sampling, and seizure prediction. Advances in wavelets (Hong Kong, 1997), 1-25, Springer, Singapore, 1999
[12] A. Ben-Israel and T. N. E. Greville, Generalized inverses: theory and applications, Robert E. Krieger Publishing Co., Inc., Huntington, N.Y., 1980.
[13] T. Blu and M. Unser; Quantitative Fourier analysis of approximation techniques. I. Interpolators and projections, Signal Processing, IEEE Transactions on 47 (1999), 2783-2795.
[14] E. Bobrovnikova and S. A. Vavasis; A norm bound for projectors with complex weights. Linear Algebra Appl. 307 (2000), no. 1-3, 69-75.
[15] L. de Branges and J. Rovnyak; Square summable power series. Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York-Toronto, Ont.-London 1966.
[16] D. Buckholtz, Hilbert space idempotents and involutions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 128 (2000), 1415-1418.
[17] Y. Censor and T. Elfving; Block-iterative algorithms with diagonally scaled oblique projections for the linear feasibility problem. SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. 24 (2002), no. 1, 40-58.
[18] K. Y. Chung, Subspaces and graphs. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 119 (1993), 141–146.
[19] G. Corach, A. Maestripieri and D. Stojanoff, Schur complements and oblique projections, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 67 (2001), 439-459.
[20] G. Corach, A. Maestripieri and D. Stojanoff, Generalized Schur complements and oblique projections, Linear Algebra and Appl. 341 (2002), 259-272.
[21] G. Corach, A. Maestripieri and D. Stojanoff, Oblique projections and abstract splines, Journal of Approximation Theory 117(2002), 189-206.
[22] C. Davis, Separation of two linear subspaces, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 19 (1958), 172-187.
[23] C. A. Desoer and B. H. Whalen, A note on pseudoinverses, Journal of Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics 11 (1963), 442-447.
[24] F. Deutsch, The angle between subspaces in Hilbert space, in "Approximation theory, wavelets and applications" (S. P. Singh, editor), Kluwer, Netherlands, 1995, 107-130.
[25] J. Dieudonné, Quasi-hermitian operators, Proc. Internat. Symp. Linear Spaces, Jerusalem (1961), 115-122.
[26] R. G. Douglas, On majorization, factorization and range inclusion of operators in

Hilbert space, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 17 (1966) 413-416.
[27] P. A. Fillmore and J. P. Williams, On operator ranges, Advances in Math. 7 (1971) 254-281.
[28] A. Forsgren; On linear least-squares problems with diagonally dominant weight matrices. SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. 17 (1996), 763-788.
[29] A. Forsgren and G. Sporre; On weighted linear least-squares problems related to interior methods for convex quadratic programming. SIAM J. Matrix Anal.Appl. 23 (2001), 42-56.
[30] W. Gerisch; Idempotents, their Hermitian components, and subspaces in position p of a Hilbert space. Math. Nachr. 115 (1984), 283-303.
[31] C. C. Gonzaga and H. J. Lara, A note on properties of condition numbers. Linear Algebra Appl. 261 (1997), 269-273.
[32] T. N. E. Greville, Solutions of the matrix equations $XAX = X$ and relations between oblique and orthogonal projectors, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 26, 4 (1974), 828-832.
[33] P. R. Halmos, Two subspaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 144 (1969), 381-389.
[34] M. Hanke and M. Neumann; The geometry of the set of scaled projections. Linear Algebra Appl. 190 (1993), 137-148.
[35] S. Hassi, K. Nordström; On projections in a space with an indefinite metric, Linear Algebra Appl. 208/209 (1994), 401-417.
[36] S. Kayalar and H. L. Weinert; Oblique projections: formulas, algorithms and error bounds, Math. Control Signal Systems 2 (1989), 33-45.
[37] T. Kato; Perturbation theory for linear operators. Reprint of the 1980 edition. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.
[38] N. Kerzman and E. M. Stein; The Szeg kernel in terms of Cauchy-Fantappi kernels. Duke Math. J. 45 (1978), 197-224.
[39] N. Kerzman and E. M. Stein; The Cauchy kernel, the Szeg kernel, and the Riemann mapping function. Math. Ann. 236 (1978), 85-93.
[40] M. G. Krein, The theory of self-adjoint extensions of semibounded Hermitian operators and its applications, Mat. Sb. (N. S.) 20 (62) (1947), 431-495.
[41] P. D. Lax, Symmetrizable linear transformations, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 7 (1954), 633-647.
[42] C. Lee, M. Eden and M. Unser; High quality image resizing using oblique projection operators, IEEE Trans. Image Proces. 7 (1998), 679-692.
[43] V. E. Ljance; Certain properties of idempotent operators. (Russian) Teoret. Prikl. Mat. Vyp. 1 (1958), 16-22.
[44] S. K. Mitra and C. R. Rao; Projections under seminorms and generalized Moore Penrose inverses. Linear Algebra and Appl. 9 (1974), 155-167
[45] V. J. Mizel and M. M. Rao; Nonsymmetric projections in Hilbert space. Pacific J. Math. 12 (1962), 343-357.
[46] D. P. O'Leary; On bounds for scaled projections and pseudoinverses. Linear Algebra Appl. 132 (1990), 115-117.
[47] Z. Pasternak-Winiarski, On the dependence of the orthogonal projector on deformations of the scalar product , Studia Math., 128 (1998), 1-17.
[48] E. L. Pekarev, Shorts of operators and some extremal problems, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 56 (1992), 147-163.
[49] V. Ptak, Extremal operators and oblique projections, Casopis pro pestování Matematiky, 110 (1985), 343-350.
[50] Ju. L. Smuljan; An operator Hellinger integral. (Russian) Mat. Sb. (N.S.) 49 (91) (1959), 381-430.
[51] J. Steinberg; A class of integral transforms which are projections. Integral Equa-

tions Operator Theory 34 (1999), no. 1, 65-90.
[52] J. Steinberg; Oblique projections in Hilbert spaces. Integral Equations Operator Theory 38 (2000), no. 1, 81-119
[53] G. W. Stewart; On scaled projections and pseudoinverses. Linear Algebra Appl. 112 (1989), 189-193.
[54] Y. Takane and H. Yanai; On oblique projectors. Linear algebra and statistics (Istanbul, 1997). Linear Algebra Appl. 289 (1999), no. 1-3, 297-310.
[55] W. S. Tang, Oblique projections, biorthogonal Riesz bases and multiwavelets in Hilbert spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 128 (2000), 463-473.
[56] W. S. Tang, Oblique multiwavelets in Hilbert spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.,to appear (electronically published as S0002-9939(99)05432-5).
[57] M. Unser; Quasi-orthogonality and quasi-projections, Applied Comp. Harmonic Anal. 3 (1996), 201-214.
[58] P. Vandaele and M. Moonen; Two deterministic blind channel estimation algorithms based on oblique projectors, Signal Process. 80 (2000), 481-495.
[59] M. J. Vrhel, C. Lee and M. Unser; Rapid computation of the continuous wavelet transform by oblique projectors, IEEE Trans Signal Proces. 45 (1997), 891-900.
[60] M. S. Wei; Upper bound and stability of scaled pseudoinverses, Numer. Math. 72 (1995), 285-293.
[61] H. K. Wimmer, Canonical angles of unitary spaces and perturbations of direct complements, Linear Alg. Appl. 287 (1999), 373-379.
[62] H. K. Wimmer, Lipschitz continuity of oblique projectors, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 128 (1999), 873-876.
[63] X. Yu and L. Tong; Joint channel and symbol estimation by oblique projectors, IEEE Transactions on Image Processing 8 (1999), 527-536.